

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/758,828

Applicant(s)

SKATRUD ET AL.

Examiner

Padmavathi v Baskar

Art Unit

1645

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Padmavathi v Baskar.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Tina Tucker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 10-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed the attorney of record that the amendment filed on 6/18/01 indicates to cancel claim 15 when there is no such claim present in the application. Attorney has informed the examiner that it was a typographical error in requesting the office to cancel claim 15. Attorney has elected Group I, claims 10-11 for prosecution in this application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required